

1 KL divergence and the ELBO

Let \mathbf{x} be observed data and \mathbf{z} be latent variables. We wish to compute the marginal likelihood $p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{z}} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$, but the integral is intractable. Define the unnormalized target $\tilde{p}(\mathbf{z}) = p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$. In variational inference, we introduce a tractable variational distribution $q(\mathbf{z})$ to approximate the posterior $p(\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{x}) = \tilde{p}(\mathbf{z})/p(\mathbf{x})$.

Throughout this problem, $\text{KL}(q||p)$ denotes the KL divergence $\sum_{\mathbf{z}} q(\mathbf{z}) \log \frac{q(\mathbf{z})}{p(\mathbf{z})}$.

1. Prove that $\text{KL}(q||p) \geq 0$ for any distributions q and p , with equality if and only if $q = p$. You might want to use Jensen's inequality: For a concave function f (such as $\log(\cdot)$) and nonnegative weights $w(x)$ such that $\sum_x w(x) = 1$, $\sum_x w(x)f(x) \leq f(\sum_x w(x)x)$.
2. For the Evidence Lower Bound (ELBO),

$$\mathcal{L}(q) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{z})}[\log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{z}) - \log q(\mathbf{z})],$$

prove the following decomposition:

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{L}(q) + \text{KL}(q(\mathbf{z}) || p(\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{x})).$$

Explain why this implies $\mathcal{L}(q) \leq \log p(\mathbf{x})$, with equality if and only if $q(\mathbf{z}) = p(\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{x})$.