

NAME: _____ SID#: _____ Login: _____ Sec: _____ 1

CS 188
Fall 2005

Introduction to AI
Stuart Russell

Midterm

You have 80 minutes. The exam is open-book, open-notes. 100 points total. Panic not.

Mark your answers ON THE EXAM ITSELF. Write your name, SID, login, and section number at the top of each page.

For true/false questions, CIRCLE *True* OR *False*.

For multiple-choice questions, CIRCLE **ALL** CORRECT CHOICES (in some cases, there may be more than one).

If you are not sure of your answer in true/false and multiple-choice questions, you may wish to provide a *brief* explanation.

For official use only

Q. 1	Q. 2	Q. 3	Q. 4	Q. 5	Q. 6	Total
/12	/16	/16	/16	/18	/22	/100

1. (12 pts.) True/False

- (a) (2) *True/False*: There exist task environments E_1 and E_2 and agent A such that A is perfectly rational in both E_1 and E_2 , even though E_1 and E_2 are not identical.
- (b) (2) *True/False*: Search algorithms cannot be applied in completely unobservable environments.
- (c) (2) *True/False*: For any propositional KB for a logical agent that is to operate over T time steps, there is an equivalent circuit-based agent whose circuit is roughly T times smaller.
- (d) (2) *True/False*: Every existentially quantified sentence in first-order logic is true in any model that contains exactly one object.
- (e) (2) *True/False*: A perfectly rational backgammon agent never loses.
- (f) (2) *True/False*: Depth-first search always expands at least as many nodes as A^* search with an admissible heuristic.

(a) (6) Give a complete problem formulation.

- (b) (4) How large is the reachable state space? (Give an exact numerical expression; no need to calculate its value.)
- (c) (2) Suppose we make the problem adversarial as follows: the two players take turns moving; a coin is flipped to determine the puzzle on which to make a move in that turn; and the winner is the first to solve one puzzle. Which algorithm can be used to choose a move in this setting?
- (d) (4) Give an informal proof that someone will eventually win if both play perfectly.

NAME: _____ SID#: _____ Login: _____ Sec: _____ 3

3. (16 pts.) Propositional logic

- (a) (5) Briefly explain the following assertion, or find a counterexample: Every pair of propositional clauses has either no resolvents, or all their resolvents are logically equivalent.

(b) (3) *True/False*: $(C \vee (\neg A \wedge \neg B)) \equiv ((A \Rightarrow C) \wedge (B \Rightarrow C))$.

(c) (4) *True/False*: For any propositional sentences α, β, γ , if $\alpha \models (\beta \wedge \gamma)$ then $\alpha \models \beta$ and $\alpha \models \gamma$.

(d) (4) *True/False*: For any propositional sentences α, β, γ , if $\alpha \models (\beta \vee \gamma)$ then $\alpha \models \beta$ or $\alpha \models \gamma$ (or both).

4. (16 pts.) Logical knowledge representation

- (a) (8) Which of the following are semantically and syntactically correct translations of “No dog bites a child of its owner”?
- i. $\forall x \text{ Dog}(x) \Rightarrow \neg \text{Bites}(x, \text{Child}(\text{Owner}(x)))$
 - ii. $\neg \exists x, y \text{ Dog}(x) \wedge \text{Child}(y, \text{Owner}(x)) \wedge \text{Bites}(x, y)$
 - iii. $\forall x \text{ Dog}(x) \Rightarrow (\forall y \text{ Child}(y, \text{Owner}(x)) \Rightarrow \neg \text{Bites}(x, y))$
 - iv. $\neg \exists x \text{ Dog}(x) \Rightarrow (\exists y \text{ Child}(y, \text{Owner}(x)) \wedge \text{Bites}(x, y))$
- (b) (8) Translate into first order logic the sentence “Everyone’s DNA is unique and is derived from their parents’ DNA.” You must specify the precise intended meaning of your vocabulary terms. [*Hint*: do not use the predicate $\text{Unique}(x)$, since uniqueness is not really a property of an object in itself!]

NAME: _____ SID#: _____ Login: _____ Sec: _____ 5

5. (18 pts.) Logical inference

Suppose a knowledge base contains just the following first-order Horn clauses:

$Ancestor(Mother(x), x)$

$Ancestor(x, y) \wedge Ancestor(y, z) \Rightarrow Ancestor(x, z)$

Consider a forward chaining algorithm that, on the j th iteration, terminates if the KB contains a sentence that unifies with the query, else adds to the KB every atomic sentence that can be inferred from the sentences already in the KB after iteration $j - 1$.

- (a) (12) For each of the following queries, say whether the algorithm will (1) give an answer (if so, write down that answer); or (2) terminate with no answer; or (3) never terminate.

i. (3) $Ancestor(Mother(y), John)$

ii. (3) $Ancestor(Mother(Mother(y)), John)$

iii. (3) $Ancestor(Mother(Mother(Mother(y))), Mother(y))$

iv. (3) $Ancestor(Mother(John), Mother(Mother(John)))$

- (b) (3) Can a resolution algorithm prove from the original knowledge base that $\neg Ancestor(John, John)$? Explain briefly.

- (c) (3) Suppose the KB is augmented with the assertion that $\neg(Mother(x) = x)$, and that the resolution algorithm includes inference rules for equality. Now what is the answer to (b)?

6. (22 pts.) Game playing

Consider the game of 2×2 tic-tac-toe where each player has the additional option of *passing* (i.e., marking no square). Assume X goes first.

- (a) (6) Draw the full game tree down to depth 2. You need not show nodes that are rotations or reflections of siblings already shown. (Your tree should have five leaves.)
- (b) (4) Suppose the evaluation function is the number of Xs minus the number of Os. Mark the values of all leaves and internal nodes.
- (c) (4) Circle any node that would not be evaluated by alpha-beta during a left-to-right exploration of your tree.
- (d) (4) Suppose we wanted to *solve* the game to find the optimal move (i.e., no depth limit). Explain why alpha-beta with an appropriate move ordering would be *much* better than minimax.
- (e) (4) Briefly discuss how one might modify minimax so that it can solve the really exciting game of *suicide* 2×2 tictactoe with passing, in which the first player to complete 2-in-a-row loses. Describe optimal play for this game. [*Hint*: which is better—a move that definitely loses or a move whose value is unknown?]