

Assignment 2

CMPT307

Summer 2020

Assignment 2

Due Wed June 24 at 23:59

3 problems, 40 points.

1. Improve the Longest Common Subsequence (LCS) algorithm (10 points):

- (a) Show how to compute the length of an LCS using only $2 \min(m, n)$ entries in the c table plus $O(1)$ additional space. Express in pseudocode. Then analyze the memory space usage of your algorithm. (5 points)

Solution: (4 points for the algorithm, 1 point for the space analysis)

Since we only use the previous row of the c table to compute the current row, we compute as normal, but only keep two rows of the table. When we go to compute row k , we overwrite row $k - 2$ since we will never need it again to compute the length.

Below (Algorithm 1) is the pseudocode. We assume that $n \leq m$. (If $m < n$, then exchange X and Y to make the situation the same.) The space usage includes $2 \min(m, n) + 2$ used by an 2-d array a and $O(1)$ used by variables m, n .

- (b) Then show how to do the same thing, but using $\min(m, n)$ entries plus $O(1)$ additional space. Again, express in pseudocode, and analyze the memory space usage of your algorithm. (5 points)

Solution: (4 points for the algorithm, 1 point for the space analysis)

To use $\min(m, n) + O(1)$ space, observe that to compute $c[i, j]$, all we need are the entries $c[i - 1, j]$, $c[i - 1, j - 1]$ and $c[i, j - 1]$. Thus, we can free up entry-by-entry those from the previous row which we will never need again, reducing the space requirement to $\min(m, n)$.

Below is the pseudocode. We assume that $n \leq m$. (If $m < n$, then exchange X and Y to make the situation the same.) Notice that the key idea is, when dealing with the $\{i, j\}$ entry, $a[0 \dots j]$ store the value of $memo[i, 0 \dots j]$ of previous matrix, and $a[j + 1 \dots n]$ corresponds to the $i - 1$ -th row, which is $memo[i - 1, j + 1 \dots n]$. The space usage is $\min(m, n) + O(1)$, which include $\min(m, n) + 1$ used by an array a , and $O(1)$ used by the four variables ($m, n, topleft, tmp$).

Algorithm 1: LCS_2min(X, Y)

```
m ← length(X);  
n ← length(Y);  
allocate matrix a[0 : 1, 0 ... n] = 0;  
for i in 0, ..., m do  
    for j in 0, ..., n do  
        if i == 0 or j == 0 then  
            | a[1, j] = 0;  
        else  
            if X[i] == Y[j] then  
                | a[1, j] = a[0, j - 1] + 1;  
            else  
                | a[1, j] = max(a[0, j], a[1, j - 1]);  
            end  
        end  
    end  
    a[0, 0 ... n] = a[1, 0 ... n];  
end  
return a[1, n];
```

Algorithm 2: LCS_min(X, Y)

```
m ← length(X);  
n ← length(Y);  
allocate array a[0, ..., n] = 0;  
for i in 0, ..., m do  
    opleft = 0;  
    for j in 0, ..., n do  
        if i == 0 or j == 0 then  
            | opleft = a[j];  
            | a[j] = 0;  
        else  
            if X[i] == Y[j] then  
                | tmp = opleft;  
                | opleft = a[j];  
                | a[j] = tmp + 1;  
            else  
                | opleft = a[j];  
                | a[j] = max(a[j - 1], a[j]);  
            end  
        end  
    end  
end  
return a[n];
```

2. Refer to the power of 2 problem (Lecture 12, slides p21) (10 points).

(a) Redo the problem using the accounting method. (5 points)

Solution:

Table 1:		
Operation i	Actual cost	Amortized cost
$i = 2^k$	i	2
$i \neq 2^k$	1	3

or

Table 2:		
Operation i	Actual cost	Amortized cost
$i = 2^k$	i	3
$i \neq 2^k$	1	3

We prove that for Table 1.

To verify the correctness, we should prove that $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{c}_i$. If i is not power of 2, the i -th operation has cost 1 and is paid 3, so it remains 2 credits.

We start from $n = 1$, obviously we have a credit of 2 and $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{c}_i$ holds.

Now suppose $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{c}_i$ holds for $n = 2^k$, so after 2^k -th operation, the credit ≥ 0 . Now consider $n = 2^{k+1}$, there are $2^k - 1$ numbers between 2^k and 2^{k+1} , thus the accumulated credit is $2^{k+1} - 2$. Then the 2^{k+1} -th operation is paid 2, the total credit now is 2^{k+1} , which equals to the cost 2^{k+1} .

(b) Redo the problem using the potential method. (5 points)

Solution: We define the potential function Φ which satisfies $\Phi(D_0) = 0$ and

$$\Phi(D_i) = \begin{cases} k + 3 & \text{for } i = 2^k \\ \Phi(D_{2^k}) + 2(i - 2^k) & \text{for } otherwise \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where k is the largest integer such that $2^k \leq i$ for the second sub-function.

Then we discuss $\hat{c}_i$ in two cases:

case 1: if i is not a power of 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{c}_i &= c_i + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1}) \\ &= c_i + \Phi(D_{2^k}) + 2(i - 2^k) - \Phi(D_{2^k}) - 2((i-1) - 2^k) \\ &= 1 + 2 \\ &= 3 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

cast 2: if i is a power of 2, that is, $i = 2^k$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{c}_i &= c_i + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1}) \\
&= c_i + (k + 3) - (\Phi(D_{2^{k-1}}) + 2(i - 1 - 2^{k-1})) \\
&= c_i + (k + 3) - (k - 1 + 3 + 2i - 2 - 2^k) \\
&= c_i + 3 - 2^k \\
&= 3
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

or

$$\Phi(D_i) = 2i - 2^k \tag{4}$$

where k is the smallest integer such that $2^k > i$. Then we discuss $\hat{c}_i$ as follow:

case 1:if i is not a power of 2,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{c}_i &= c_i + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1}) \\
&= c_i + (2i - 2^k) - (2(i - 1) - 2^k) \\
&= c_i + 2 \\
&= 3
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

case 2: if i is a power of 2, that is, $i = 2^k$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{c}_i &= c_i + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1}) \\
&= c_i + (2i - 2^{k+1}) - (2(i - 1) - 2^k) \\
&= c_i + 2 - 2^k \\
&= 2^k + 2 - 2^k \\
&= 2
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

3. Coin changing (20 points):

Consider the problem of making change for n cents using the fewest number of coins. Assume that each coin's value is an integer.

- (a) Describe a greedy algorithm to make change consisting of quarters, dimes, nickels, and pennies. Prove that your algorithm yields an optimal solution. (7 points)

Solution: (3 points for the greedy algorithm, 4 points for the proof of optimal solution.)

Make_change([25, 10, 5, 1], v)

Algorithm 3: *Make_change*(coins, v)

```
 $n = \text{length}(\text{coins});$ 
 $\text{numcoins}[0, \dots, n - 1] = 0;$ 
 $\text{surplus} = v;$ 
for  $i$  in  $0, \dots, n - 1$  do
     $\text{numcoins}[i] = \text{floor}(\text{surplus} / \text{coins}[i]);$ 
     $\text{surplus} = \text{surplus} - \text{numcoins}[i] * \text{coins}[i];$ 
end
return  $\text{numcoins};$ 
```

To prove it provides an optimal solution:

To make change for n cents using 25, 10, 5, 10, at most 2 dimes, 1 nickle and 4 pennies will be used. And the change made by dimes, nickles and pennies must be less than 25 cents. For example, if 2 nickles are used, it can be replaced by a dime and with 1 less coin, this wouldn't happen in our greedy algorithm.

Suppose there exists a n that the greedy algorithm doesn't give the optimal solution. Let n_0, n_1, n_2, n_3 represent the number of coins used by the greedy algorithm, corresponding to quarter, dime, nickel, penny. Let n'_0, n'_1, n'_2, n'_3 be the number of coins used by the optimal solution.

Since the greedy algorithm uses as many quarters as possible at the beginning, we have $n'_0 \leq n_0$. Now we show that $n'_0 < n_0$ is not true. If $n'_0 < n_0$ and $c = n_0 - n'_0$, it means that the optimal solution will make change for $c \times 25$ cents using dimes, nickles and pennies. In this case, the total number of coins used for $c \times 25$ coins cannot be less than $3c$ (2 dimes and 1 nickle), replace it using c quarters can obviously provide a better solution. If a better solution exists, n'_0 is not the optimal solution. So we have $n'_0 = n_0$.

Analyze the usage of dimes, nickles and pennies using the above idea, we will have $n'_1 = n_1$, $n'_2 = n_2$ and $n'_3 = n_3$. The greedy algorithm provides an optimal solution.

- (b) Suppose that the available coins are in the denominations that are powers of c , i.e., the denominations are $c^0, c^1, \dots, c^k$ for some integers $c > 1$ and $k \geq 1$. Show that the greedy algorithm always yields an optimal solution. (4 points)

Solution: Given an optimal solution $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ where x_i indicates the number of coins of denomination c^i .

We will show that we must have $x_i < c$ for x_i by c and increase x_{i+1} by 1. This collection of coins has the same value and has $c - 1$ fewer coins, so the original solution must be non-optimal.

This configuration of coins is exactly the same as you would get if you kept greedily picking the largest coin possible. This is because to get a total value of V , you would pick $x_k = \lfloor Vc^{-k} \rfloor$ and for $i < k$, $x_i = \lfloor (V \bmod c^{i+1})c^{-i} \rfloor$. This is the only solution that satisfies the property that there aren't more than c of any but the largest denomination because the coin amounts are a base c representation of $V \bmod c^k$.

- (c) Give a set of coin denominations for which the greedy algorithm does not yield an optimal solution. Your set should include a penny so that there is a solution for every value of n . (3 points)

Solution: For example, $[1, 3, 4]$ to make change for 6; $[1, 5, 6]$ to make change for 10; etc.

- (d) Give an $O(nk)$ -time algorithm that makes change for any set of k different coin denominations, assuming that one of the coins is a penny. (6 points)

Solution: use dynamic programming. See algorithm 4 below.

Algorithm 4: Make_change(S, v)

```
numcoins[0, ..., v - 1] = 0;
coin[0, ..., v - 1] = 0;
for  $i$  in 0, ...,  $v$  do
    bestcoin = -1;
    bestnum =  $\infty$ ;
    for  $c$  in  $S$  do
        if numcoins[ $i - c$ ] + 1 < bestnum then
            bestnum = numcoins[ $i - c$ ] + 1;
            bestcoin =  $c$ ;
        end
    end
    numcoins[ $i$ ] = bestnum;
    coin[ $i$ ] = bestcoin;
end
let change be an empty set;
iter =  $v$ ;
while iter > 0 do
    add coin[iter] to change;
    iter = iter - coin[iter];
end
return change
```
