Markov Random Fields

Inference

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = の�@

Course Roadmap

Graphical models

Sequential data

Reinforcement learning

Guest lectures

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = の�@

Graphical Models - Part II CMPT 419/726 Mo Chen SFU Computing Science Feb. 24, 2020

Bishop PRML Ch. 8

Markov Random Fields

Inference

Outline

Markov Random Fields

Inference

▲□▶ ▲御▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 二臣 - のへで

Markov Random Fields

Inference

Outline

Markov Random Fields

Inference

▲□▶▲圖▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のQ@

Conditional Independence in Graphs



- Recall that for Bayesian Networks, conditional independence was a bit complicated
 - d-separation with head-to-head links
- We would like to construct a graphical representation such that conditional independence is straight-forward path checking

Markov Random Fields



- Markov random fields (MRFs) contain one node per variable
- Undirected graph over these nodes
- Conditional independence will be given by simple separation, blockage by observing a node on a path
 - e.g. in above graph, $A \perp B \mid C$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ . 三 . 의식()

Markov Blanket Markov



- With this simple check for conditional independence, Markov blanket is also simple
 - Recall Markov blanket MB of x_i is set of nodes such that x_i conditionally independent from rest of graph given MB
- Markov blanket is neighbours

MRF Factorization

- Remember that graphical models define a factorization of the joint distribution
- What should be the factorization be so that we end up with the simple conditional independence check?
- For x_i and x_j not connected by an edge in graph:

 $x_i \perp x_j | \boldsymbol{x}_{\setminus \{i,j\}}$

• So there should not be any factor $\psi(x_i, x_j)$ in the factorized form of the joint

Cliques

- A clique in a graph is a subset of nodes such that there is a link between every pair of nodes in the subset
- A maximal clique is a clique for which one cannot add another node and have the set remain a clique



< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ . 三 . 의식()

MRF Joint Distribution

- Note that nodes in a clique cannot be made conditionally independent from each other
 - So defining factors $\psi(\cdot)$ on nodes in a clique is "safe"
- The joint distribution for a Markov random field is:

$$p(x_1,\ldots,x_K) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_C \psi_C(\boldsymbol{x}_C)$$

where x_c is the set of nodes in clique C, and the product runs over all maximal cliques

- Each $\psi_C(\mathbf{x}_C) \ge 0$
- Z is a normalization constant

MRF Joint Distribution Example

 The joint distribution for a Markov random field is:

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_4) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_C \psi_C(x_C)$$

= $\frac{1}{Z} \psi_{123}(x_1, x_2, x_3) \psi_{234}(x_2, x_3, x_4)$



< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ . 三 . 의식()

• Note that maximal cliques subsume smaller ones: $\psi_{123}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ could include $\psi_{12}(x_1, x_2)$, though sometimes smaller cliques are explicitly used for clarity

MRF Joint - Terminology

The joint distribution for a Markov random field is:

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_K) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_C \psi_C(x_C)$$

- Each $\psi_{c}(\mathbf{x}_{c})$ is called a potential function
- *Z*, the normalization constant, is called the partition function:

$$Z = \sum_{x} \prod_{C} \psi_{C}(x_{C})$$

- Z is very costly to compute, since it is a sum/integral over all possible states for all variables in x
- Don't always need to evaluate it though, will cancel for computing conditional probabilities

Markov Random Fields

Inference

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Hammersley-Clifford

The definition of the joint:

$$p(x_1,\ldots,x_K) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_C \psi_C(x_C)$$

- Note that we started with particular conditional independences
- We then formulated the factorization based on clique potentials
 - This formulation resulted in the right conditional independences
- Hammersley-Clifford theorem: The following sets of distributions are equivalent.
 - the set of distributions consistent with conditional independences implied by the graph
 - any distribution in the above form, $\frac{1}{7}\prod_{C}\psi_{C}(\mathbf{x}_{C})$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ . 三 . 의식()

Energy Functions

Often use exponential, which is non-negative, to define potential functions:

$$\psi_C(\boldsymbol{x}_C) = \exp\{-E_C(\boldsymbol{x}_C)\}\$$

- Minus sign by convention
- $E_C(\mathbf{x}_C)$ is called an energy function
 - From physics, low energy = high probability
- This exponential representation is known as the Boltzmann distribution

・ロト・日本・モト・モト モー わらぐ

Energy Functions - Intuition

Joint distribution nicely rearranges as

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_K) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_C \psi_C(x_C)$$
$$= \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left\{-\sum_C E_C(x_C)\right\}$$

- Intuition about potential functions: ψ_c are describing good (low energy) sets of states for adjacent nodes
- An example of this is next

Image Denoising





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□ ● ○○○

- Consider the problem of trying to correct (denoise) an image that has been corrupted
- Assume image is binary
- Observed (noisy) pixel values $y_i \in \{-1, +1\}$
- Unobserved true pixel values $x_i \in \{-1, +1\}$

Image Denoising - Graphical Model



- Cliques containing each true pixel value x_i ∈ {−1, +1} and observed value y_i ∈ {−1, +1}
 - · Observed pixel value is usually same as true pixel value
 - Energy function $-\eta x_i y_i, \eta > 0$, lower energy (better) if $x_i = y_i$
- Cliques containing adjacent true pixel values x_i, x_i
 - · Nearby pixel values are usually the same
 - Energy function $-\beta x_i x_j$, $\beta > 0$, lower energy (better) if $x_i = x_j$



Complete energy function:

$$E(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -\beta \sum_{\{i,j\}} x_i x_j - \eta \sum_i x_i y_i$$

Joint distribution:

$$p(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\{-E(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})\}$$

• Or, as potential functions $\psi_n(x_i, x_j) = \exp(\beta x_i x_j), \psi_p(x_i, y_i)$ = $\exp(\eta x_i y_i)$:

$$p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i,j} \psi_n(x_i, x_j) \prod_i \psi_p(x_i, y_i)$$

Image Denoising - Inference





- The denoising query is $\arg \max_{x} p(x|y)$
- Two approaches:
 - Iterated conditional modes (ICM): hill climbing in x, one variable x_i at a time
 - Simple to compute, Markov blanket is just observation plus neighbouring pixels
 - Graph cuts: formulate as max-flow/min-cut problem, exact inference (for this graph)

Converting Directed Graphs into Undirected Graphs



· Consider a simple directed chain graph:

$$p(x) = p(x_1)p(x_2|x_1)p(x_3|x_2) \dots p(x_N|x_{N-1})$$

Can convert to undirected graph

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{Z}\psi_{12}(x_1, x_2)\psi_{23}(x_2, x_3)\dots\psi_{N-1,N}(x_{N-1}, x_N)$$

• Where $\psi_{12} = p(x_1)p(x_2|x_1)$, $\psi_{k-1,k} = p(x_k|x_{k-1})$, Z = 1

Converting Directed Graphs into Undirected Graphs

- The chain was straight-forward because for each conditional *p*(*x_i*|*pa_i*), nodes *x_i* ∪ *pa_i* were contained in one clique
 - Hence we could define that clique potential to include that conditional
- For a general undirected graph we can force this to occur by "marrying" the parents
 - Add links between all parents in pa_i
 - This process known as moralization, creating a moral graph

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ . 三 . 의식()

Strong Morals



- Start with directed graph on left
- · Add undirected edges between all parents of each node
- · Remove directionality from original edges

Constructing Potential Functions



- Initialize all potential functions to be 1
- With moral graph, for each $p(x_i|pa_i)$, there is at least one clique which contains all of $x_i \cup pa_i$
 - Multiply p(x_i|pa_i) into potential function for one of these cliques
- Z = 1 again since

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{C} \psi_{C}(\mathbf{x}_{C}) = \prod_{i} p(x_{i}|pa_{i})$$

which is already normalized



- Note that the moralized undirected graph loses some of the conditional independence statements of the directed graph
- Further, there are certain conditional independence assumptions which can be represented by directed graphs which cannot be represented by undirected graphs, and vice versa
- Directed graph: $A \perp B | \phi, A \perp B | C$, cannot be represented using undirected graph
- Undirected graph: $A \equiv B | \emptyset, A \perp B | C \cup D, C \perp D | A \cup B$ cannot be represented using directed graph

Markov Random Fields

Inference

Outline

Markov Random Fields

Inference

▲□▶▲圖▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のQ@

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ . 三 . 의식()

Inference

- Inference is the process of answering queries such as $p(x_n | x_e = e)$
- We will focus on computing marginal posterior distributions over single variables x_n using

$$p(x_n | \boldsymbol{x}_e = \boldsymbol{e}) \propto p(x_n, \boldsymbol{x}_e = \boldsymbol{e})$$

The proportionality constant can be obtained by enforcing

$$\sum_{x_n} p(x_n | \boldsymbol{x}_e = \boldsymbol{e}) = 1$$

Inference on a Chain

$\overset{x_1}{\bigcirc} \overset{x_2}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \overset{x_{N-1}}{\bigcirc} \overset{x_N}{\bigcirc}$

- · Consider a simple undirected chain
- For inference, we want to compute $p(x_n, x_e = e)$
- First, we'll show how to compute $p(x_n)$

• $p(x_n, x_e = e)$ will be a simple modification of this

Inference on a Chain



 The naive method of computing the marginal p(x_n) is to write down the factored form of the joint, and marginalize (sum out) all other variables:

$$p(x_n) = \sum_{x_1} \dots \sum_{x_{n-1}} \sum_{x_{n+1}} \dots \sum_{x_N} p(\mathbf{x})$$
$$= \sum_{x_1} \dots \sum_{x_{n-1}} \sum_{x_{n+1}} \dots \sum_{x_N} \frac{1}{Z} \prod_C \psi_C(\mathbf{x}_C)$$

• This would be slow: $O(K^N)$ work if each variable could take *K* values

Inference

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = の�@

Inference on a Chain



- However, due to the factorization terms in this summation can be rearranged nicely
- · This will lead to efficient algorithms

A Simple Chain



• First consider a chain with 3 nodes, and computing $p(x_3)$:

$$p(x_3) = \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \psi_{23}(x_2, x_3)$$
$$= \sum_{x_2} \psi_{23}(x_2, x_3) \sum_{x_1} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 善臣 - のへで

Performing the sums

$$p(x_3) = \sum_{x_2} \psi_{23}(x_2, x_3) \sum_{x_1} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2)$$

• For example, if x_i are binary: $\sum_{x_1} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) = \underbrace{[a + c \quad b + d]}_{x_2} \equiv \mu_{12}(x_2)$ $\psi_{23}(x_2, x_3) \times \mu_{12}(x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ u(b+d) \\ v(b+d) \end{bmatrix}$ $p(x_3) = [s(a + c) + u(b + d) \quad t(a + c) + v(b + d)]$

Complexity of Inference

- There were two types of operations
 - Summation

$$\sum_{x_1} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2)$$

 $K \times K$ numbers in ψ_{12} , takes $O(K^2)$ time

Multiplication

$$\psi_{23}(x_2, x_3) \times \mu_{12}(x_2)$$

Again $O(K^2)$ work

- For a chain of length N, we repeat these operations N 1 times each
 - $O(NK^2)$ work, versus $O(K^N)$ for naive evaluation

More complicated chain

• Now consider a 5 node chain, again asking for $p(x_3)$

$$p(x_3) = \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \sum_{x_4} \sum_{x_5} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \psi_{23}(x_2, x_3) \psi_{34}(x_3, x_4) \psi_{45}(x_4, x_5)$$
$$= \sum_{x_2} \sum_{x_1} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \psi_{23}(x_2, x_3) \sum_{x_4} \sum_{x_5} \psi_{34}(x_3, x_4) \psi_{45}(x_4, x_5)$$
$$= \left[\sum_{x_2} \sum_{x_1} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \psi_{23}(x_2, x_3) \right] \left[\sum_{x_4} \sum_{x_5} \psi_{34}(x_3, x_4) \psi_{45}(x_4, x_5) \right]$$

- Each of these factors resembles the previous, and can be computed efficiently
 - Again O(NK²) work

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ . 三 . 의식()



 The factors can be thought of as messages being passed between nodes in the graph

$$\mu_{12}(x_2) \equiv \sum_{x_1} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2)$$

is a message passed from node x_1 to node x_2 containing all information in node x_1

In general,

$$\mu_{k-1,k}(x_k) \equiv \sum_{x_{k-1}} \psi_{k-1,k}(x_{k-1}, x_k) \mu_{k-2,k-1}(x_{k-1})$$

Possible to do so because of conditional independence

More complicated chain

• Now consider a 5 node chain, again asking for $p(x_3)$

$$p(x_3) = \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \sum_{x_4} \sum_{x_5} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \psi_{23}(x_2, x_3) \psi_{34}(x_3, x_4) \psi_{45}(x_4, x_5)$$

$$= \sum_{x_2} \sum_{x_1} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \psi_{23}(x_2, x_3) \sum_{x_4} \sum_{x_5} \psi_{34}(x_3, x_4) \psi_{45}(x_4, x_5)$$

$$= \left[\sum_{x_2} \psi_{23}(x_2, x_3) \sum_{x_1} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \right] \left[\sum_{x_4} \psi_{34}(x_3, x_4) \sum_{x_5} \psi_{45}(x_4, x_5) \right]$$

$$= \left[\sum_{x_2} \psi_{23}(x_2, x_3) \mu_{12}(x_2) \right] \left[\sum_{x_4} \psi_{34}(x_3, x_4) \mu_{54}(x_4) \right]$$

$$= \mu_{23}(x_3) \mu_{43}(x_3)$$

Computing All Marginals



- Computing one marginal $p(x_n)$ takes $O(NK^2)$ time
- Naively running same algorithms for all nodes in a chain would take O(N²K²) time
- But this isn't necessary, same messages can be reused at all nodes in the chain
- Pass all messages from one end of the chain to the other, pass all messages in the other direction too
- Can compute marginal at any node by multiplying the two
 messages delivered to the node
 - $2(N-1)K^2$ work, twice as much as for just one node

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = の�@

Including Evidence

• If a node $x_{k-1} = e$ is observed, simply clamp to observed value rather than summing:

$$\mu_{k-1,k}(x_k) = \sum_{x_{k-1}} \psi_{k-1,k}(x_{k-1}, x_k) \mu_{k-2,k-1}(x_{k-1})$$

becomes

$$\mu_{k-1,k}(x_k) = \psi_{k-1,k}(x_{k-1} = e, x_k)\mu_{k-2,k-1}(x_{k-1} = e)$$

Trees

- The algorithm for a tree-structured graph is very similar to that for chains
- Formulation in PRML uses factor graphs, we'll just give the intuition here
- Consider calcuating the marginal $p(x_n)$ for the center node of the graph at right
- Treat *x_n* as root of tree, pass messages from leaf nodes up to root



・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ の へ ()



- Message passing similar to that in chains, but possibly multiple messages reaching a node
- With multiple messages, multiply them together
- · As before, sum out variables

$$\mu_{k-1,k}(x_k) = \sum_{x_{k-1}} \psi_{k-1,k}(x_{k-1}, x_k) \mu_{k-2,k-1}(x_{k-1})$$

- Known as sum-product algorithm
- Complexity still $O(NK^2)$

Inference

Most Likely Configuration

A similar algorithm exists for finding

 $\arg\max_{x_1,\dots,x_N} p(x_1,\dots,x_N)$

- Replace summation operations with maximize operations
- Maximum of products at each node
- Known as max-sum, since often take log-probability to avoid underflow errors



5 node chain

 $v_{12}(x_2)$

• Consider a 5 node chain, asking for arg max $p(x_1, ..., x_5)$

 $\max_{x_1,\dots,x_5} p(x_1,\dots,x_N) = \max_{x_1,\dots,x_5} \psi_{12}(x_1,x_2) \psi_{23}(x_2,x_3) \psi_{34}(x_3,x_4) \psi_{45}(x_4,x_5)$ $= \max_{x_1,\dots,x_4} \psi_{12}(x_1,x_2)\psi_{23}(x_2,x_3)\psi_{34}(x_3,x_4) \max_{x_5} \psi_{45}(x_4,x_5)$ $v(x_{4})$ $= \max_{x_2} \left(\psi_{23}(x_2, x_3) \max_{x_1} \psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \right) \max_{x_4} \left(\psi_{34}(x_3, x_4) \max_{x_5} \psi_{45}(x_4, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{12}(x_2, x_3)}_{v_{12}(x_2, x_3)} \max_{x_4} \left(\psi_{34}(x_3, x_4) \max_{x_5} \psi_{45}(x_4, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{12}(x_2, x_3)}_{v_{12}(x_2, x_3)} \max_{x_4} \left(\psi_{13}(x_3, x_4) \max_{x_5} \psi_{13}(x_4, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{12}(x_2, x_3)}_{v_{12}(x_2, x_3)} \max_{x_4} \left(\psi_{13}(x_3, x_4) \max_{x_5} \psi_{13}(x_4, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{12}(x_2, x_3)}_{v_{12}(x_2, x_3)} \max_{x_4} \left(\psi_{13}(x_3, x_4) \max_{x_5} \psi_{13}(x_4, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{12}(x_3, x_4)}_{v_{12}(x_2, x_3)} \max_{x_4} \left(\psi_{13}(x_3, x_4) \max_{x_5} \psi_{13}(x_4, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{12}(x_3, x_4)}_{v_{12}(x_2, x_5)} \max_{x_4} \left(\psi_{13}(x_3, x_4) \max_{x_5} \psi_{13}(x_4, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{12}(x_3, x_4)}_{v_{12}(x_3, x_4)} \max_{x_5} \left(\psi_{13}(x_5, x_4) \max_{x_5} \psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{12}(x_5, x_5)}_{v_{13}(x_5, x_5)} \max_{x_5} \left(\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \max_{x_5} \psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{12}(x_5, x_5)}_{v_{13}(x_5, x_5)} \max_{x_5} \left(\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \max_{x_5} \psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{12}(x_5, x_5)}_{v_{13}(x_5, x_5)} \max_{x_5} \left(\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \max_{x_5} \psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5)}_{v_{13}(x_5, x_5)} \max_{x_5} \left(\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \max_{x_5} \psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5)}_{v_{13}(x_5, x_5)} \exp_{x_5} \left(\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \max_{x_5} \psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5)}_{v_{13}(x_5, x_5)} \exp_{x_5} \left(\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \max_{x_5} \psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5)}_{v_{13}(x_5, x_5)} \exp_{x_5} \left(\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \max_{x_5} \psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5)}_{v_{13}(x_5, x_5)} \exp_{x_5} \left(\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \max_{x_5} \psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5)}_{v_{13}(x_5, x_5)} \exp_{x_5} \left(\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \max_{x_5} \psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5)}_{v_{13}(x_5, x_5)} \exp_{x_5} \left(\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \exp_{x_5} \psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5)}_{v_{13}(x_5, x_5)} \exp_{x_5} \left(\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \exp_{x_5} \psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5)}_{v_{13}(x_5, x_5)} \exp_{x_5} \left(\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \exp_{x_5} \psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \right) \\ \underbrace{\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5)}_{v_{13}(x_5, x_5)} \exp_{x_5} \left(\psi_{13}(x_5, x_5) \exp_{x_5} \psi_{13}(x_5$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ . 三 . 의식()

General Graphs

- Junction tree algorithm is an exact inference method for arbitrary graphs
 - A particular tree structure defined over cliques of variables
 - Inference ends up being exponential in maximum clique size
 - Therefore slow in many cases
- Approximate inference techniques
 - Loopy belief propagation: run message passing scheme (sum-product) for a while
 - Sometimes works
 - Not guaranteed to converge
 - Variational methods: approximate desired distribution using analytically simple forms, find parameters to make these forms similar to actual desired distribution (Ch. 10)
 - Sampling methods: represent desired distribution with a set of samples, as more samples are used, obtain more accurate representation (Ch. 11)

Conclusion

- Readings: Ch. 8
- Graphical models depict conditional independence
 assumptions
- Directed graphs (Bayesian networks)
 - Factorization of joint distribution as conditional on node given parents
- Undirected graphs (Markov random fields)
 - Factorization of joint distribution as clique potential functions
- Inference algorithm sum-product, based on local message passing
 - Works for tree-structured graphs
 - Non-tree-structured graphs, either slow exact or approximate inference