COMPUTING SCIENCE PEER TUTORING

Starting Week 3! Are You A CMPT 120, 125, 127, 129 Student? Need Help? Drop In To Our CS Peer Tutoring Sessions Today! We Are Located In CSIL ASB 9820



 <u>http://www.sfu.ca/computing/current-</u> <u>students/undergraduate-students/student-</u> <u>resources/cs_peer_tutoring.html</u>



Algorithm Performance (The Big-O)

CMPT 125 Mo Chen SFU Computing Science 20/1/2020

Lecture 6

Today:

- Worst-case Behaviour
- Counting Operations
- Performance Considerations
- Time measurements
- Order Notation (the Big-O)

Pessimistic Performance Measure

- Often consider the *worst-case* behaviour as a benchmark.
 - make guarantees about code performance under all circumstances
- Can predict performance by counting the number of "elementary" steps required by algorithm in the worst case
 - derive total steps (T) as a function of input size (N)

2D Maximum Density Problem

Problem: Given a 2-dimensional array (*NxN*) of integers, find the 10x10 swatch that yields the

largest sum.



- Resource management and optimization
- Finding brightest areas of photos



Algorithm / Code?

- Try all possible positions for upper left corner
 - $(N-9) \times (N-9)$ of them
 - (*correction from last class)
 - use a nested loop
- Total each swatch using a 10 × 10 nested loop
- A *brute-force* approach!
 - Generate a possible solution [naively]
 - Test it [naively]



In C

Precise accounting:

 $348N^2 - 6956N + 34762$ operations



Which Performance Measurement?

- Empirical timings
 - run your code on a real machine with various input sizes
 - plot a graph to determine the relationship
- Operation counting
 - o assumes all elementary instructions are created equal
- Actual performance can depend on much more than just your algorithm!

Running Time is Affected By . . .

- CPU speed
- Amount of main memory
- Specialized hardware (e.g., graphics card)
- Operating system
- System configuration (e.g., virtual memory)
- Programming Language
- Algorithm Implementation
- Other Programs



Comparing Algorithm Performance

- There can be many ways to solve a problem, i.e., different algorithms that produce the same result
 - E.g., There are numerous sorting algorithms.
- Compare algorithms by their behaviour for large input sizes, i.e., as *N* gets large
 - On today's hardware, most algorithms perform quickly for small N
- Interested in growth rate as a function of N
 - E.g., Sum an array: *linear* growth = O(N)
 - E.g., Check for duplicates: quadratic growth = O(N²)

Order Notation (the Big-O)

- Suppose we express the number of operations used in our algorithm as a function of *N*, the size of the problem.
- Intuitively, take the dominant term, remove the leading constant, and put O(...) around it.

• E.g.,
$$f(N) = \frac{348N^2}{-6956N} + 34762 \longrightarrow O(N^2)$$

Formalities of the Big-O

Given a function T(N), we say T(N) = O(f(N))
 if T(N) is at most a constant times f(N),
 except perhaps for some small values of N.

• Properties:

- constant factors don't matter
- low-order terms don't matter
- Rules:
 - For any k and any function f(N), $k \cdot f(N) = O(f(N))$
 - E.g., 5N = O(N) $\log_a N = \frac{\log_b N}{\log_b a}$ for any b

constant

- E.g., $\log_a N = O(\log_b N)$. Why? *
- Q. Do leading constants really not matter?

Leading Constants - Experiment

Of course, constant factors affect performance

- E.g., If two different algorithms run in $f_1(N) = 20N^2$ and $f_2(N) = 2N^2$, respectively, you would expect Algorithm 2 to run 10 times faster.
- E.g., Similarly, a 10x faster machine running Algorithm 1 would have the same running time.
- Big-O hides leading constants a hardware independent analysis.

Cray Supercomputer

17.6 x 10¹⁵ instructions per second runs unoptimized dup_chk() code from last time $f(N) = 3/2 \frac{N^2}{N^2} + 5/2 N + 3$

VS

iMac Desktop Personal Computer (2011)

 $40 \ge 10^9$ instructions per second runs an optimized, different dup_chk () $f(N) = 30 \frac{N \log N}{N} + 5N + 4$

Experimental Results

Ν	iMac	Cray
100,000	1.2 ms	850 ns
10 ⁶	15 ms	85 µs
10 ⁷	0.2 s	8.5 ms
10 ⁸	2 s	0.85 s
10 ⁹	22 s	1.75 min
10 ¹⁰	4.2 min	2:22 hr
10 ¹¹	56 min	10 days
10 ¹²	8:20 hr	2.7 years

Conclusions:

- Cray runs $O(N^2)$ algorithm
- iMac runs O(N logN) algorithm which runs faster than Cray for large N (10⁹ and beyond)
- Thus slow computer + *O*(*N* log*N*) >>

fast computer + $O(N^2)$ algorithm

- Rule of Thumb: The slower the function grows, the faster the algorithm.
- For the $O(N^2)$ Cray, a 10x increase in N leads to roughly a 100x increase in running time.
- For the O(N logN) iMac, a 10x increase in N leads to roughly a 10x increase in running time (for the N), plus a little (for the logN).

Some Plots

100log(x)+60 (yellow) 0.3x+200 (purple) 0.18x*log(x) (green) 0.0001x^2+0.2x+50 (red) 0.0000005x^3 (black)



Some Plots

100log(x)+60 (yellow) 0.3x+200 (purple) 0.18x*log(x) (green) 0.0001x^2+0.2x+50 (red) 0.0000005x^3 (black)



Big-O and Barometer Instructions

Rule of thumb:

The frequency of the barometer instructions will be proportional to the big-O running time

So, find the most frequent operation(s) and count them!

Loops Multiply



 $T(N) = 3 \times 10 \times 10 \times (N - 9) \times (N - 9) = O(N^2)$



Some Math

Inner loop: 3i + 1 for all possible i from 0 to N - 1. = $3/2 N^2 - 1/2 N$

$$1 + 4 + 7 + \dots + 3(N - 1) + 1 = (1 + 3N - 3 + 1) \times \frac{N}{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}N(3N - 1)$$
$$= \frac{3}{2}N^2 - \frac{1}{2}N$$

Observation 1:

• If N is large, then the $\frac{1}{2}N$ term hardly matters

Observation 2: Intuition for arithmetic series, which have N^2 leading terms

- The sum of each pair increase linearly with *N*
- The number of pairs increases linearly with N
- Multiply two quantities that increase linearly with $N \rightarrow N^2$

Empirical Measurement

- Another graph a quadratic this time!
- Confirms predictions: doubling (2x) the input size leads to a quadrupling (4x) of the running time.

N	time (in ms)
10000	89
20000	365
40000	1424
100000	9011



(Informal) Mathematical Definition

- Two functions T(N), f(N) > 0 for all large N \circ In this course, T(N) is usually the running time of a program, and
 - In this course, T(N) is usually the running time of a program, and f(N) is some well-known function
 - We want to find f(N) that approximates how fast T(N) increases as N becomes very large
- T(N) = O(f(N)) if and only if there is some positive number M and N₀ such that
 |T(N)| ≤ Mf(N) for all N ≥ N₀
 ○ O(f(N)) is an estimate of the upper bound of running time T(N)
- Many possible choices for f(N) -- we want the best one
 5N² = O(N³) is correct, but not the most useful.
 5N² = O(N²) would be the best estimate of running time
- Many possibilities for T(N) -- we want the **worst** one
 - When looking for an item in an array, you may find it right away
 - However, in the worst case you have to go through all elements

Polynomials

Rule:

The powers of N are ordered according to their exponents, i.e., $N^a = O(N^b)$ if and only if $a \le b$

• E.g., $N^2 = O(N^3)$, but N^3 is not $O(N^2)$.

Why are lower-ordered terms not included?

E.g., If your bank account followed f(N) = N² + N + 1, you would probably care a lot about the lower-ordered terms for small N, like N=5, as f(5) = 5² + 5 + 1 = \$31. You'll care about every dollar. But not for larger N, like N=1000, as f(1000) = 1000² + 1000 + 1 = \$1,001,001. You care most that you have that million bucks, and not much about the \$1000 or the \$1.

More Rules

3. A logarithm grows more slowly than any other positive power of N.

• E.g., $\log_2 N = O(N^{1/2})$.

- 4. If f(N) = O(g(N)) and g(N) = O(h(N)) then f(N) = O(h(N)).
- 5. If both f(N) and g(N) are O(h(N)) then

f(N) + g(N) = O(h(N))

3. If $f_1(N) = O(g_1(N))$ and $f_2(N) = O(g_2(N))$ then $f_1(N) \ge f_2(N) = O(g_1(N) \ge g_2(N))$

E.g., $(10 + 5N^2)(10\log_2 N + 1) + (5N + \log_2 N)(10N + 2N \log_2 N)$

Typical Growth Rate Functions

- O(1) constant time
 - $\circ~$ The time is independent of N, E.g., list look-up
- O(logN) logarithmic time
 - $\circ~$ Usually the log is to the base 2, E.g., binary search
- O(N) **linear** time, E.g., linear search
- O(N logN) E.g., quicksort, mergesort
- O(N²) quadratic time, e.g. selection sort
- $O(N^k) polynomial$ (where k is a constant)
- $O(2^N)$ **exponential** time, very slow!