Graphs and Breadth-First Search

Chapter 22
A graph $G$ is a pair $(V, E)$ where $V$ is an arbitrary set and $E$ is a set of 2-element subsets of $V$.

The elements of $V$ are called the vertices or nodes of the graph. The elements of $E$ are called the edges or arcs of the graph.

A graph can be visualized by drawing elements of $V$ as dots, and elements of $E$ as line segments between dots.

$V = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$
$E = \{ac, cb, be, ea\}$
Digraphs

A directed graph or digraph \( G \) is a pair \((V, E)\) where \( V \) is an arbitrary and \( E \) is a subset of \( V \times V \).

The difference from a graph is that digraph edges are directed from one vertex to another.

A digraph can be visualized by drawing elements of \( V \) as dots, and elements of \( E \) as arrows between dots.

\[ V = \{a, b, c, d, e\} \]
\[ E = \{ac, ca, cb, dc, eb\} \]
Applications

Graphs and digraphs have a multitude of applications in computing.

Consider a graph \((V, E)\) where \(V\) = some processors and \(E\) = physical connections between the processors. This is a network interconnection graph.

Or a graph \((V, E)\) where \(V\) = the variables in a program, and \(E\) contains an edge \(v_1v_2\) if the two variables \(v_1\) and \(v_2\) must be in memory at the same time. This is called a conflict graph.
Applications

Consider a digraph \((V, E)\) where \(V = \) courses in university and edge \(ab\) is in \(E\) if \(a\) is a prerequisite of \(b\). This is the prerequisite digraph.

Or a digraph \((V, E)\) where \(V = \) the classes in an object-oriented program, and \(E\) contains an edge \(c_1c_2\) if the class \(c_1\) is a subclass of class \(c_2\). This is called a class hierarchy.
Representations of Graphs

There are three major representations of graphs and digraphs in widespread use.

The first of these is the **adjacency-list** representation. Here each vertex stores a list of adjacent vertices.

The second of these is the **adjacency-matrix** representation. Here a $|V| \times |V|$ matrix $M$, where an entry $M(i, j)$ stores 0 if there is no edge from $i$ to $j$, or 1 if there is an edge from $i$ to $j$.

**Adjacency-list** is excellent for **sparse graphs** and okay for dense ones. **Adjacency-matrix** is good for **dense** graphs.
Representations of Graphs
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The third representation is the **edge-list** representation. Here each vertex stores a list of adjacent edges.

The **edge-list** representation is very similar to the **adjacency-list representation**. It is excellent for sparse graphs, and for when edges have data associated with them. It is the most frequently found object-oriented representation.

An edge-list representation typically has an **object for the graph** that contains a sequence (list or array) of **vertex object** references. Each vertex object contains a list of **edge object** references for the edges it is a part of. Each edge object contains references to the two vertex objects for the vertices that are its endpoints.

Theoretically, adjacency-list and edge-list representations are nearly equivalent, so the text does not go into edge-list representations.
**Edge-List Representation**

Graph object: sequence of vertex references

Vertex objects: data ▲ and a list of edges

Edge objects: data ▲ and two vertex references
Breadth-First Search

Breadth-first search (BFS) is a way of examining every node in a **connected** graph. A graph is connected if every pair of vertices is joined by a path of one or more edges.

BFS starts at a single node and looks for neighbors to explore, recursively exploring their neighbors, and those neighbors' neighbors, etc.
Breadth-First Search

Breadth-first search can optionally label each vertex with its distance from the start vertex. It can also optionally construct a tree, known as a Breadth-First Search Tree.

In a BFS tree, each node v except the start node has a predecessor or parent node, which is the node whose exploration first discovered v. A BFS tree is a tree of minimum height contained in the graph that is rooted at the start node and contains all of the nodes of the graph.

BFS is a classic worklist algorithm, where the work to be done is kept in a list (or other data structure), with items added as they are discovered and removed as they are processed.
Breadth-First Search

Graph.BFS(s)  // s is start vertex

for each vertex u in G:  // G is "this"
    u.status = UNDISCOVERED
    u.distance = \infty
    u.predecessor = nil

s.status = DISCOVERED
s.distance = 0
s.predecessor = nil

Q = new Queue  // Q is "worklist"
Q.enqueue(s)
...
Breadth-First Search

while !Q.isEmpty()
    u = Q.dequeue()
    for each v adjacent to u
        if v.status = UNDISCOVERED
            v.status = DISCOVERED
            v.distance = u.distance + 1
            v.predecessor = u
            Q.enqueue(v)
    u.status = PROCESSED

// process u
Breadth-First Search Example

(a) Breadth-First Search Example

(b) Breadth-First Search Example

(c) Breadth-First Search Example

(d) Breadth-First Search Example

(e) Breadth-First Search Example

(f) Breadth-First Search Example
Breadth-First Search Example

Invariant:

At "while !Q.isempty" the queue contains all vertices with status = DISCOVERED.
Breadth-First Search Analysis

Vertices are marked UNDISCOVERED only at initialization. The "if v.status is UNDISCOVERED" test ensures that each vertex is enqueued at most once, and therefore dequeued at most once. Each of these operations takes constant time, so it's $O(V)$ for the queue operations.

The adjacency list of each vertex is scanned exactly once, when the vertex is dequeued. Thus the time spent scanning adjacency lists is at most $O(E)$.

Initialization costs $O(V)$, so the time for BFS is $O(V+E)$. This is linear in the size of the adjacency list representation.
Distance and Shortest Paths

Let the **shortest-path distance** (or simply distance) $\delta(s, v)$ from vertex $s$ to vertex $v$ be the minimum number of edges in any path from $s$ to $v$. If there is no path from $s$ to $v$, then $\delta(s, v) = \infty$.

A path of length $\delta(s, v)$ from $s$ to $v$ is called a **shortest path** from $s$ to $v$. 

The figure shows a graph with vertices labeled $s$, $t$, $u$, $w$, and $v$. The edge $stuv$ is a shortest path, and the edge $swuv$ is also a shortest path. The distance $\delta(s, v)$ between $s$ and $v$ is 3.
Lemma on Shortest Paths

**Lemma.** Let \( G = (V, E) \) be a directed or undirected graph. Let \( s \in V \) be an arbitrary vertex. Then, for any edge \( (u, v) \in E \), \( \delta(s, v) \leq \delta(s, u) + 1 \).

**Proof.** If \( u \) is reachable from \( s \), then a shortest path from \( s \) to \( u \) followed by the edge from \( u \) to \( v \) is a path from \( s \) to \( v \) and has length \( \delta(s, u) + 1 \). If \( u \) is not reachable from \( s \), then \( \delta(s, u) = \infty \) and the result holds.
BFS distance lemma

**Lemma.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a directed or undirected graph. Suppose BFS is run on $G$ from a given source vertex $s$. Upon termination, for each $v \in V$, the value $v\text{.distance}$ computed by BFS satisfies $v\text{.distance} \geq \delta(s, v)$.

**Proof.** By induction on the number of ENQUEUE operations. The inductive hypothesis (IH) is that $v\text{.distance} \geq \delta(s, v)$ for all $v \in V$.

The basis is when $s$ is first ENQUEUEd. The IH holds here because $s\text{.distance} = 0 = \delta(s, s)$ and for all other vertices $v$, $v\text{.distance} = \infty \geq \delta(s, v)$.
BFS distance lemma

For the inductive step, let \( v \) be an UNDISCOVERED vertex that is discovered during the loop for vertex \( u \)'s neighbors.

\[
v\cdot\text{distance} = u\cdot\text{distance} + 1 \geq \delta(s, u) + 1 \geq \delta(s, v)
\]

by the assignment in the if
by the IH
by the previous lemma

Vertex \( v \) is then enqueued, and it is never enqueued again because its status has changed to DISCOVERED and never changed back to UNDISCOVERED. So \( v\cdot\text{distance} \) never changes again, and the IH is maintained.
Queue distances lemma

**Lemma.** Suppose that during the execution of BFS on graph $G = (V, E)$, the queue $Q$ contains the vertices $(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r)$, where $v_1$ is the head of the queue and $v_r$ the tail. Then

$$v_r.distance \leq v_1.distance + 1,$$

and

$$v_i.distance \leq v_{i+1}.distance$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r-1$.

**Proof.** By induction on the number of queue operations. The basis is when the queue contains only $s$, when the lemma trivially holds ($r = 1$).

If $v_1$ is dequeued, then $v_2$ becomes the new head. By induction, $v_r.distance \leq v_1.distance + 1$, which is $\leq v_2.distance + 1$. 
Queue distances lemma

All other inequalities are unaffected, so the lemma holds after a dequeue.

If some vertex v is enqueued while scanning vertex u's neighbors, v becomes \( v_{r+1} \). u was dequeued before scanning commenced, and it satisfied

\[
\text{u.distance} \leq v_1 \text{.distance}.
\]

So

\[
v_{r+1} \text{.distance} = v \text{.distance} = u \text{.distance} + 1 \leq v_1 \text{.distance} + 1
\]

From the IH we have \( v_r \text{.distance} \leq u \text{.distance} + 1 \), and so

\[
v_r \text{.distance} \leq v_{r+1} \text{.distance}.
\]

Again, the remaining inequalities are unaffected and so the lemma holds after v is enqueued.
Queue distances corollary

Corollary. Suppose that vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$ are enqueued during the execution of BFS, and that $v_i$ is enqueued before $v_j$. Then

$$v_i\.distance \leq v_j\.distance$$

at the time when $v_j$ is enqueued.

Proof. Apply previous lemma and note that each vertex receives a finite distance value at most once during the course of the BFS.
Correctness of BFS

**Theorem.** Let BFS be executed on G = (V, E) from source vertex s. Then BFS discovers every vertex that is reachable from s, and upon termination, \( v.\text{distance} = \delta(s, v) \) for all vertices \( v \in V \). Furthermore, for any vertex \( v \neq s \) that is reachable from s, one of the shortest paths from s to v is a shortest path from s to v.predecessor followed by the edge (v.predecessor, v).

**Proof.** To prove \( v.\text{distance} = \delta(s, v) \) we use proof by contradiction. So assume that some vertex gets a distance value that is not equal to its shortest path distance. Let v be a vertex with minimum \( \delta(s, v) \) that receives such an incorrect value. Trivially, \( v \neq s \).
Correctness of BFS

By the BFS distance lemma, \( v.\text{distance} \geq \delta(s, v) \), so it must be that \( v.\text{distance} > \delta(s, v) \). Let \( u \) be the vertex immediately preceding \( v \) on a shortest path from \( s \) to \( v \). Then \( \delta(s, v) = \delta(s, u) + 1 \). Now \( u.\text{distance} = \delta(s, u) \) and \( v.\text{distance} > \delta(s, v) = \delta(s, u) + 1 = u.\text{distance} + 1 \). (*)

Consider \( v.\text{status} \) when BFS dequeues \( u \). If \( v.\text{status} \) is UNDISCOVERED, then in processing \( u \) we set \( v \) to DISCOVERED and \( v.\text{distance} \) to \( u.\text{distance} + 1 \), contradicting (*). If \( v.\text{status} \) is PROCESSED, then it was already removed from the queue and has \( v.\text{distance} \leq u.\text{distance} \), by the Queue Distances Corollary. This again contradicts (*). If \( v.\text{status} \) is DISCOVERED, then it was in
Correctness of BFS

the queue when u was dequeued, and the Queue Distances Lemma tells us \(v\text{.distance} \leq u\text{.distance} + 1\), again contradicting (*)

As every case has led to a contradiction, we have that \(v\text{.distance} = \delta(s, v)\) for all vertices \(v \in V\). All vertices reachable from s must be discovered, as otherwise their distance value would be infinite. Next, observe that if \(v\text{.predecessor} = u\), then \(v\text{.distance} = u\text{.distance} + 1\). Therefore we obtain a shortest path from s to v by taking a shortest path from s to u followed by the edge uv.

Be sure to read the end of section 22.2 on BFS Trees.